arXiv:1703.09002v1 [math.DS] 27 Mar 2017

On cusp excursions of geodesics and Diophantine approximation *

†

Manoj Choudhuri ‡

§

Abstract

In this article we describe some new examples of correspondence between Diophantine approximation and homogeneous dynamics, by characterizing two kinds of exceptional orbits of geodesic flow associated with the Modular surface. The characterization uses a two parameter family of continued fraction expansion of endpoints of the lifts to the hyperbolic plane of the corresponding geodesics.

1 Introduction

A fundamental connection between Diophantine approximation and homogeneous dynamics is provided by Dani correspondence. This is a correspondence between certain Diophantine properties of real numbers and the behaviour of certain orbits under the acion of an one parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ inside the homogeneous space $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, which is a non compact space with one non compact end, known as the cusp of the space. Let $\{a_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the one parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$a_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

* *Keywords:* Dani correspondence, continued fractions, modular surface, geodesic flow

† *Mathematics subject classification:* 37A17, 11J70

‡ *Affiliation* : Harish Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India

§ *Email:* manoj.choudhuri@gmail.com

It acts on the space $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ by right multiplication as follows :

$$(\Gamma g)a_t \mapsto (\Gamma)ga_t, \text{ for } g \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}),$$

where we denote $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ by Γ. A real number x is called badly approximable if there exists some constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| > \frac{\delta}{q^2}$, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.1. *(Dani correspondence, [3]) Given a real number x, let*

$$\Gamma_x = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the orbit $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is bounded (relatively compact) in $\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ if and only if x is a badly approximable number. On the other hand $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is divergent if and only if x is rational.

In particular the above correspondence implies that if the number x is not badly approximable (which is the case for almost all real numbers with respect to Lebesgue measure), then the orbit $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits any neighbourhood of the cusp infinitely often and if x is rational, then given any neighbourhood $N(C)$ of the cusp, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq t_0} \subset N(C)$. The above correspondence is true in more general settings and it has much wider applicability. But for this article we confine our attention to its simplest form and extend it in a sense to be made precise in a moment and while doing so we make use of an essential tool in number theory viz. the continued fraction expansion of real numbers. The most frequently used continued fraction in number theory is the classical one (also known as regular or simple continued fraction), which provides the best approximation to an irrational number by rational numbers (see Theorem 182. of [6]). The classical continued fraction expansion of a real number x is produced by the folllowing algorithm. Let $a_0 = [x]$, where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$ and denoting x by x_0, $x_j = \frac{1}{x_{j-1}-a_{j-1}}$, $a_j = [x_j]$ for $j \geq 1$. We write

$$x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...] = a_0 + \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}, \tag{1}$$

with $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{N}$ for $j \geq 1$. If x is rational then the sequence $\{a_j\}$ is a finite sequence, otherwise it is an infinite sequence. a_j's are called the partial quotients and

$\frac{p_j}{q_j} = [a_0, a_1, ..., a_j]$ is called the jth convergent, $\frac{p_j}{q_j}$ converges to x with $\{q_j\}$ being an increasing sequence of positive integers. It is well known (see [6] for instance) that a real number is badly approximable if and only if the partial quotients in its classical continued fraction expansion are bounded. So Theorem 1.1 can be restated in terms of classical continued fraction expansion of x as follows :

$\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a bounded orbit in $\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ if and only if the partial quotients in the continued fraction expansion of x are bounded and $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is divergent if and only if x has a finite continued fraction expansion.

We extend this correspondence in the following sense. We relate the average behaviour of the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of x, to the average behaviour of the orbit $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, in terms of spending time in a neighbourhood of the cusp. Let $N(C)$ be a neighbourhood of the cusp and

$$I_T = \frac{\{t \in [0,T] : \Gamma_x a_t \cap N(C) \neq \phi\}}{T},$$

we say that $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits the cusp with frequency 0 if $I_T \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$, for some neighbourhood $N(C)$ of the cusp. We say $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits the cusp with frequency 1 if $I_T \to 1$ as $T \to \infty$, for every neighbourhood $N(C)$ of the cusp. If x is a real number and $[a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$ is the classical continued fraction expansion of x, then for $\xi > 1$ and $j \geq 1$, we define the modified partial quotients as follows :

$$a_j^\xi = a_j, \text{if } a_j > \xi,$$
$$a_j^\xi = 1, \text{if } a_j \leq \xi.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let x be a real number with $[a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$ being its classical continued fraction expansion. For $\xi > 1$, let $\{a_j^\xi\}_{j\geq 1}$ be the sequence of modified partial quotients as defined above. Also let $A_N^\xi = \frac{1}{N}\sum_1^N \log a_j^\xi$ and $A_N = \frac{1}{N}\sum_1^N \log a_j$. Then $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits the cusp with frequency 0 if and only if $A_N^\xi \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for some $\xi > 1$. On the other hand $\{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits the cusp with frequency 1 if and only if $A_N \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$.*

Now let

$$E_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0} \text{ visits the cusp with frequency } 0\}$$

and

$$E_\infty = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \{\Gamma_x a_t\}_{t\geq 0} \text{ visits the cusp with frequency } 1\}.$$

Note that E_0 contains all the badly approximable numbers and E_∞ contains the rational numbers. It was shown by V. Jarnik ([7]) that the set of badly approximable numbers has full Hausdorff dimension (see [4] for the definition of Hausdorff dimension), from which it follows that *dim* $E_0 = 1$ (*dim* here stands for Hausdorff dimension).

For a real number x and $[a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$ the classical continued fraction expansion of x, the quantity

$$\gamma(x) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_1^N \log a_j,$$

whenever the limit exists, is known as the Khintchin exponent of x. For any non-negative real number ξ or $\xi = \infty$, let

$$E'_\xi = \{x \in [0, 1) : \gamma(x) = \xi\}.$$

The Khintchin spectrum *dim* E'_ξ was studied in detail in [5] and in particular it was shown that *dim* $E'_\infty = \frac{1}{2}$. Since $E_\infty = \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} (j + E'_\infty)$, it follows that *dim* $E_\infty = \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 1.3. *E_∞ contains apart from rational numbers, some very well approximable numbers. A real number x is said to be very well approximable if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $|x - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^{2+\varepsilon}}$ holds for infinitely many $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now construct a real number $x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$ using classical continued fraction with the choice of a_j's as follows. Fix some $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrarily and inductively choose $a_{j+1} = [q_j^\varepsilon] + 1$ for $j \geq 1$, where q_j's are the denominator of the convergents as defined earlier. Then as $\{q_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence, $\{a_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is also an increasing sequence. Then $\frac{1}{N}\sum_1^N \log a_j \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$ and, therefore, $x \in E_\infty$. On the other hand it follows from the construction of x, that the sequence of convergents $\frac{p_j}{q_j}$ satisfy the inequality $|x - \frac{p_j}{q_j}| < \frac{1}{q_j^{2+\varepsilon}}$ for all $j \geq 1$, showing that x is a very well approximable number. Note that E_∞ can not contain all very well approximable numbers as the set of very well approximable numbers has Hausdorff dimension 1 ([7]) and E_∞ has Hausdorff dimension $\frac{1}{2}$.*

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on an analysis of the geodesic flow associated with the modular surface. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy : y > 0\}$ be the upper half plane

endowed with the hyperbolic metric $ds^2 = \frac{dx^2+dy^2}{y^2}$. $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{H}$ by fractional linear transformations, giving rise to the modular surface $M = \mathbb{H}/\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, which is topologically a sphere with two singularities and one cusp. Let $T^1\mathbb{H}$ be the unit tangent bundle of the hyperbolic plane which is the collection $\{(z,\zeta)\}$ with z in $\mathbb{H}$ and ζ being a tangent vector of norm one at the point z. $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $T^1\mathbb{H}$ as well and the quotient space $T^1\mathbb{H}/\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with the unit tangent bundle of M, which we denote by T^1M. We know that $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\{\pm I\}$ can be identified with $T^1\mathbb{H}$, where the identification is given by

$$g \longmapsto g(i,\hat{i}),$$

for $g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, where $\hat{i}$ denotes the unit tangent vector based at the point i and pointing upwards. Similarly $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ can be itentified with T^1M and the right action of the one parameter subgroup $\{a_t\}$ on $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ corresponds to the geodesic flow on T^1M.

Studying the behaviour of geodesics on the modular surface using classical continued fraction goes back to E. Artin ([1]) and a more precise description can be found in the work of C. Series ([11]). In this article we are going to follow the approach of S. Katok and I. Ugarcovicci which is developed more recently. They consider another class of continued fractions in which negative integers are also allowed as partial quotients and the continued fraction expansion is written using minus sign. For example one can rewrite the expression in (1) as

$$x = a_0 - \cfrac{1}{-a_1 - \cfrac{1}{a_2 - \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}, \tag{2}$$

then it gives a particular continued fraction expansion of their kind. They actually consider a two parameter family of continued fraction known as (a,b)-continued fraction with $a,\ b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying a technical condition (see next section for more details about (a,b)-continued fractions). Using these continued fracton expansions of real numbers, they describe a coding of geodesics on the modular surface, which enables one to give a symbolic description of the geodesic flow associated with the modular surface. We use this symbolic description to obtain an analogous statement of Theorem 1.2, from which Theorem 1.2 follows as a corollary.

While considering (a,b)-continued fraction in this article we restrict our attention to the set of parameters

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | -1 \leq a < 0 < b \leq 1, b-a \geq 1\},$$

which exclude the possibilities $a < -1$ and $b > 1$, though in the work of Katok and Ugarcovicci ([9], [10]) those possibilities were also considered with $-ab \leq 1$. Also let $\mathcal{E}$ be the exeptional set discussed in [9], the elements of which do not satisfy the finiteness (see next section for the definition) condition and let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{E}$. Now let $\mathbb{H}_d = \{x+iy \in \mathbb{H} : y > d\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d \subset T^1\mathbb{H}$ be given by $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d = \mathbb{H}_d \times S^1$, S^1 being the unit circle. Let π denote both the projections from $\mathbb{H}$ to M and from $T^1\mathbb{H}$ to T^1M and $M_d = \pi(\mathbb{H}_d)$, $\overline{M}_d = \pi(\overline{\mathbb{H}}_d)$. Note that $\overline{M}_d$ is a typical neighbourhood of the cusp in T^1M. We denote $(z,\zeta) \in T^1M$ by v. Any $v \in T^1M$ determines a unique geodesic in M, if we consider the geodesic along with its tangent vector at each ponit, then it is the orbit of v under the geodesic flow. This orbit is denoted by $\{g_t v\}$, where g_t denotes the geodesic flow on T^1M. We say that the orbit $\{g_t v\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits the cusp with frequency 0 if there exists some $d > 1$, such that $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \chi_{\overline{M}_d}(g_t v)dt \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$ and an orbit $\{g_t v\}_{t\geq 0}$ is said to visit the cusp with frequency 1 if for all $d > 1$, $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \chi_{\overline{M}_d}(g_t v)dt \to 1$ as $T \to \infty$, $\chi_{\overline{M}_d}$ here denotes the characteristic function of $\overline{M}_d$. Now let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x = [a_0, a_1, ...]_{a,b}$ be its (a,b)-continued fraction expansion. Given $\xi > 1$ and $j \geq 0$, We define the modified partial quotients of the (a,b)-continued fraction expansion of x as follows :

$$a_j^\xi = a_j, \text{if } |a_j| > \xi,$$
$$a_j^\xi = 1, \text{if } |a_j| \leq \xi.$$

Theorem 1.4. *For a given $v \in T^1M$, let γ_v be the corresponding geodesic in M and let $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ be one of its lifts to the hyperbolic plane. Let x be the attracting end point of $\tilde{\gamma}_v$. For $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}$, let the (a,b)-continued fraction expansion of x be given by $x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$. Also for $\xi > 1$, let $\{a_j^\xi\}_{j\geq 0}$ be the modified sequence of partial quotients as defined above. Let $A_N^\xi = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \log|a_j^\xi|$ and $A_N = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \log|a_j|$. Then the forward orbit $\{g_t v\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits the cusp with frequency 0 if and only if $A_N^\xi \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for some $\xi > 1$.*

On the other hand $\{g_t v\}_{t\geq 0}$ visits the cusp with frequency 1 if and only if $A_N \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$.

Acknowledgements. *The author is thankful to S. G. Dani for suggesting the problem and his constant help in writing the paper.*

2 (a,b)-continued fractions and geodesic flow

Following S. Katok and I. Ugarcovicci ([9]), for $(a,b) \in \mathcal{P}$, the (a,b)-continued fraction expansion of a real number can be defined using a generalized integral part function:

$$[x]_{a,b} = \begin{cases} [x-a] & \text{if } x < a \\ 0 & \text{if } a \leq x < b \\ \lceil x-b \rceil & \text{if } x \geq b, \end{cases}$$

where $\lceil x \rceil = [x] + 1$. For $(a,b) \in \mathcal{P}$, every irrational number x can be expressed uniquely as an infinite continued fraction of the form (see [9] for details)

$$x = a_0 - \cfrac{1}{a_1 - \cfrac{1}{a_2 - \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}, \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{Z}, a_j \neq 0 \text{ for } j \geq 1), \tag{3}$$

which we denote by $x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$, where $x_0 = x$, $a_0 = [x_0]_{a,b}$ and $x_j = -\frac{1}{x_{j-1} - a_{j-1}}$, $a_j = [x_j]_{a,b}$ for $j \geq 1$. As in the case of classical continued fraction, a_j is called the jth partial quotient and $r_j = \frac{p_j}{q_j} = a_0 - \cfrac{1}{a_1 - \cfrac{1}{a_2 - \cfrac{1}{\ddots - \cfrac{1}{a_j}}}}$ is called the jth convergent. The sequence $\{|q_j|\}$ is eventually increasing and r_j converges to x. A particular case of (a,b)-continued fraction, viz. the $(-1,1)$-continued fraction (also called the alternating continued fraction) is closely related to the classical continued fraction. If $\{a_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ is the sequence of partial quotients in the classical continued fraction expansion of a real number x, then $\{(-1)^j a_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ is the sequence of partial quotients in the $(-1,1)$-continued fraction expansion of x. A similar relation holds between the nearest integer continued fraction (also known as Hurwitz's continued fraction introduced by Hurwitz) expansion and $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$-continued fraction expansion of any real number.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f_{a,b} : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be defined by

$$f_{a,b}(x) = \begin{cases} x+1 & \text{if } x < a \\ -\frac{1}{x} & \text{if } a \leq x < b \\ x-1 & \text{if } x \geq b. \end{cases}$$

Note that $f_{a,b}$ is defined using the standard generators $T(x) = x+1$ and $S(x) = -\frac{1}{x}$

of the modular group $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and the continued fraction algorithm described above can be obtained using the first return map of $f_{a,b}$ to the interval $[a,b)$.

The main object of study in [9] is a two dimensional realization of the natural extension map of $f_{a,b}$, $F_{a,b} : \overline{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\Delta \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}\backslash\Delta$, $\Delta = \{(x,y) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^2) | x = y\}$, defined by

$$F_{a,b}(x,y) = \begin{cases} (x+1, y+1) & \text{if } x < a \\ (-\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{1}{y}) & \text{if } a \leq x < b \\ (x-1, y-1) & \text{if } x \geq b. \end{cases}$$

The following theorem is just a restatement of the main result of [9] for the restricted set of parameters $\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 2.1. *([9]) There exists an one-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero, uncountable set $\mathcal{E}$ contained in $\{(a,b) \in \mathcal{P} : b = a+1\}$, such that for all $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{E}$,*

1. *the map $F_{a,b}$ has an attractor $D_{a,b} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{a,b}^n(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^2\backslash\Delta)$ on which $F_{a,b}$ is essentially bijective.*

2. *The set $D_{a,b}$ consists of two (or one in degenerate cases) connected components each having finite rectangular structure, i.e. bounded by non-decresing step-functions with finitely many steps.*

3. *Almost every point (x,y) of the plane ($x \neq y$) is mapped to $D_{a,b}$ after finitely many iterations of $F_{a,b}$.*

In [9], to deduce the above theorem, a crucial role in the arguments used, is played by the orbits of a and b under $f_{a,b}$, viz. to a, the upper orbit $\mathcal{O}_u(a)$ (i.e. the orbit of Sa) and the lower orbit $\mathcal{O}_l(a)$ (i.e. the orbit of Ta), and to b, the upper orbit $\mathcal{O}_u(b)$ (i.e. the orbit of $T^{-1}b$) and the lower orbit $\mathcal{O}_l(b)$ (i.e. the orbit of Sb). It was proved in [9] that if $(a,b) \in \mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{E}$, then $f_{a,b}$ satisfies the finiteness condition, which means that for both a and b, their upper and lower orbits are either eventually periodic, or they satisfy the cycle property, i.e. they meet forming a cycle, in other words there exist integers $k_1, m_1, k_2, m_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$f_{a,b}^{m_1}(Sa) = f_{a,b}^{k_1}(Ta) = c_a \text{ (respectively } f_{a,b}^{m_2}(T^{-1}b) = f_{a,b}^{k_2}(Sb) = c_b),$$

where c_a and c_b are the ends of the cycles. If the products of transformations over the upper and lower sides of the cycle of a (respectively b) are equal, a (respectively b) is said to have strong cycle property, otherwise it has weak cycle property. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_a = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_l(a) & \text{if } a \text{ has no cycle property} \\ \text{lower part of } a\text{-cycle} & \text{if } a \text{ has strong cycle property} \\ \text{lower part of } a\text{-cycle} \cup \{0\} & \text{if } a \text{ has weak cycle property,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_a = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_u(a) & \text{if } a \text{ has no cycle property} \\ \text{upper part of } a\text{-cycle} & \text{if } a \text{ has strong cycle property} \\ \text{upper part of } a\text{-cycle} \cup \{0\} & \text{if } a \text{ has weak cycle property} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_b$, $\mathcal{U}_b$ be defined similarly. Also let $\mathcal{L}_{a,b} = \mathcal{L}_a \cup \mathcal{L}_b$ and $\mathcal{U}_{a,b} = \mathcal{U}_a \cup \mathcal{U}_b$. So, $f_{a,b}$ satisfies the finiteness condition means that both the sets $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ are finite, which is true when $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}$. In [9], first a set $A_{a,b}$, having finite rectangular structure, was constructed (see Theorem 5.5 in [9]) using the values in the sets $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ and then it was shown (Theorem 6.4 in [9]) that $A_{a,b}$ actually coincides with the attractor $D_{a,b}$. The upper component of $D_{a,b}$ is bounded by non-decresing step functions with values in the set $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$ and the lower component of $D_{a,b}$ is bounded by non-decresing step functions with values in the set $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$.

Making use of the properties of the map $F_{a,b}$ and the attractor $D_{a,b}$, in a subsequent paper ([10]), S. Katok and I. Ugarcovicci developed a general method of coding geodesics on the modular surface and gave a symbolic description of the geodesic flow associated with the modular surface. We first recall from [10] the notion of (a,b)-reduced geodesics, which plays a crucial role in determining the cross-section for the geodesic flow needed for coding purposes.

Definition 2.2. *A geodesic in $\mathbb{H}$ with real endpoints u and w, w being the attracting and u being the repelling endpoints, is called (a,b)-reduced if $(u,w) \in \Lambda_{a,b}$, where*

$$\Lambda_{a,b} = F_{a,b}(D_{a,b} \cap \{a \le w < b\}) = S(D_{a,b} \cap \{a \le w < b\}).$$

Given any geodesic γ' in $\mathbb{H}$, one can obtain an (a,b)-reduced geodesic $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$-equivalent to γ' by using the reduction property (3rd assertion in Theorem 2.1) of the map $F_{a,b}$. More precisely if γ' is a geodesic which is not (a,b)-reduced and if $w' = [a'_0, a'_1, a'_2, ...]_{a,b}$ is the attracting end point of γ', then there exists some positive integer n such that $ST^{-a'_n}...ST^{-a'_1}ST^{-a'_0}(\gamma')$ is an (a,b)-reduced geodesic (see [10] for details). Now let γ be an (a,b)-reduced geodesic with attracting and repelling endpoint w and u respectively and $[a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$ be the (a,b)-continued fraction expansion of w. Using the essential bijectivity of the map $F_{a,b}$ one can extend the sequence $[a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$ in the past as well to get a bi-infinite sequence $[..., a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$, called the coding sequence of γ and written as



Figure 1: Cross-section $C_{a,b}$

$$[\gamma]_{a,b} = [..., a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, ...],$$

where $a_{-1} - \cfrac{1}{a_{-2} - \cfrac{1}{\ddots}} = \frac{1}{u}$ (see Section 3. of [10] for details).

Now we recall from [10] the description of the cross-section. Let

$$C = \{z \in \mathbb{H} |\ \ |z| = 1, \mathrm{Im} z \geq 0\}$$

be the upper half of the unit circle and let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the standard fundamental domain for the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{H}$, given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H} |\ \ |z| \geq 1, |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

Using the definition of (a, b)-reduced geodesic it is easy see the following fact.

Proposition 2.3. *([10]) For $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}$, every (a, b)-reduced geodesic intersects C.*

Given an (a, b)-reduced geodesic γ with attracting and repelling endpoints w and u respectively, the cross-section point on γ is the intersection point of γ with C. Let $\phi : \Lambda_{a,b} \to T^1\mathbb{H}$ be defined by

$$\phi(u, w) = (z, \zeta),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{H}$ is the cross-section point on the geodesic γ, joining u and w and ζ is the unit vector tangent to γ at z. The map ϕ is clearly injective and after composing with the cannonical projection π we obtaini a map

$$\pi \circ \phi : \Lambda_{a,b} \to T^1M.$$

Let $C_{a,b} = \pi \circ \phi(\Lambda_{a,b}) \subset T^1M$. Then $C_{a,b}$ is a cross-section for the geodesic flow associated to the modular surface. The lift of $C_{a,b}$ to $T^1\mathbb{H}$, restricted to the unit tangent vectors having base point on the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$, can be described as follows:

$$\pi^{-1}(C_{a,b}) \cap (\mathcal{F} \times S^1) = P \cup Q_1 \cup Q_2 \text{ (see Figure 1)},$$

where P consists of unit tangent vectors on the circular boundary of the fundamental region $\mathcal{F}$ and pointing inward such that the corresponding geodesic γ on $\mathbb{H}$ is (a,b)-reduced; Q_1 consists of unit tangent vectors with base points on the right vertical boundary of $\mathcal{F}$ and pointing inward such that if γ is the geodesic corresponding to one such unit vector, then $TS\gamma$ is (a,b)-reduced; Q_2 consists of unit tangent vectors with base points on the left vertical boundary of $\mathcal{F}$ and poninting inward such that if γ is the geodesic corresponding to one such unit vector, then $T^{-1}S\gamma$ is (a,b)-reduced.

Now let $v \in T^1M$ and γ_v be the corresponding geodesic in M and $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ be an (a,b)-reduced lift of it inside $\mathbb{H}$. Also let $\eta : T^1M \to M$ be the cannonical projection of T^1M onto M. The following theorem from [10] provides the base for coding geodesics on the modular surface using (a,b)-continued fractions.

Theorem 2.4. *([10]) Let γ_v and $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ be as above. Then each geodesic segment of γ_v between successive returns to $\eta(C_{a,b})$, while extended to a geodesic, produces an (a,b)-reduced geodesic on $\mathbb{H}$, and each (a,b)-reduced geodesic $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$-equivalent to $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ is obtained in this way.The first return of γ_v to $\eta(C_{a,b})$ corresponds to a left shift of the coding sequence of $\tilde{\gamma}_v$.*

Now let $\{g_t v\}$ be the orbit of the geodesic flow on T^1M corresponding to the geodesic γ_v, i.e. $\gamma_v(t) = \eta(g_t v)$ and let γ_v^j be the segment of the geodesic γ_v corresponding to the portion of the orbit $\{g_t v\}_{t\geq 0}$ between $(j-1)$th and jth returns to the cross-section $C_{a,b}$. We call the segment γ_v^j the jth excursion of the geodesic γ_v into the cusp. Let $w = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$ be the attracting end point of $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj} = ST^{-a_{j-1}}...ST^{-a_1}ST^{-a_0}(\tilde{\gamma}_v)$. Then the segment of $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ between C and $a_j + C$, denoted by $\tilde{\gamma}_v^j$ is a lift of γ_v^j to the hyperbolic plane. Assuming the geodesics to be parametrized by arc length, the time between the $(j-1)$th and the jth return of $\{g_t v\}$ to the cross-section, called the jth return time, is given by $t_j = h(\gamma_v^j) = h(\tilde{\gamma}_v^j)$, where h stands for the hyperbolic length of the geodesic segment. Let $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}\backslash(-1,1)$. We will see shortly that for (a,b)-continued fraction coding with $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}'$, each return



Figure 2: Structure of $D_{a,b}$

time t_j is at a bounded distance from $2\log|a_j|$ for all $j \geq 1$, which relies on the fact that the cross-section $C_{a,b}$ is contained inside a compact subset of T^1M.

Proposition 2.5. *If $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}'$, then $C_{a,b}$ is contained inside a compact subset of T^1M.*

Proof. The structure of $D_{a,b}$ is discussed in detail in Theorem 5.5 of [9]. $D_{a,b}$ has two connected components, the lower one we denote by $D^l_{a,b}$ and the upper one we denote by $D^u_{a,b}$. Both the sets $D^l_{a,b}$ and $D^u_{a,b}$ have finite rectangular structure i.e. bounded by non-decresing step functions with finite number of steps. For $D^l_{a,b}$ the values of the step function are given by the set $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ and for $D^u_{a,b}$ the values of the step function are given by the set $\mathcal{U}_{a,b}$. The structure of the boundary (see Figure 2 for a typical picture of $D_{a,b}$) of $D_{a,b}$ consists of finite number of horizontal segments at different points of the set $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$, called the different levels of $D^l_{a,b}$ and consecutive levels are joined by vertical segments, where the heighest level is $y = a+1$. $D^u_{a,b}$ has a similar description with the lowest level being $y = b-1$. Let x_a^- be the x-coordinate of the vertical segment joining two consecutive levels y_a^- and y_a^+ of $D^l_{a,b}$ with $y_a^- \leq a < y_a^+$ and x_a^+ be the x-coordinate of the vertical segment joining two consecutive levels y_- and y_+ with $y_- \leq 0 < y_+$. Similarly, let x_b^- be the x-coordinate of the vertical segment joining two consecutive levels y'_- and y'_+ of $D^u_{a,b}$ with $y'_- < 0 \leq y'_+$ and x_b^+ be the x-coordinate of the vertical segment joining two consecutive levels y_b^- and y_b^+ with $y_b^- < b \leq y_b^+$. Also let y_l be the level above Sb and next to Sb; y_u be the level below Sa and next to Sa.

It follows from these assertions and the definition of $\Lambda_{a,b}$, that a geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ with attracting and repelling endpoints w and u respectively and $w > 0$, is (a,b)-



Figure 3: Cross-section point of an (a, b)-reduced geodesic

reduced if and only if $(u, w) \in [-\frac{1}{x_a^-}, 0) \times [-\frac{1}{a}, \infty) \bigcup (0, -\frac{1}{x_b^-}] \times [-\frac{1}{b-1}, \infty)$. On the other hand if $w < 0$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ is (a, b)-reduced if and only if $(u, w) \in (0, -\frac{1}{x_b^+}] \times (-\infty, -\frac{1}{b}] \bigcup [-\frac{1}{x_a^+}, 0) \times (-\infty, -\frac{1}{a+1}]$. We shall show that x_a^-, $x_a^+ > 1$ and x_b^-, $x_b^+ < -1$.

For $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}'$, let m_a and m_b be positive integers such that $a \leq T^{m_a} STa < a+1$ and $a \leq T^{m_b} Sb < a + 1$. Let m_a, $m_b \geq 3$, then the the proof of Lemma 5.6 of [9] shows that the vertical segment joining Sb and y_l has x-coordinate greater than 1 and the vertical segment joining y_u and Sa has x-coordinate less than -1. Therfore, in these cases we have x_a^-, $x_a^+ > 1$ and x_b^-, $x_b^+ < -1$. Now we consider the situation when m_a, $m_b \leq 2$. Note that m_a can never be 1, for if $m_a = 1$, then $a = 0$, since $a > -1$, but we have assumed that $a < 0$. So, $m_a \geq 2$. Now if either m_a or m_b is 2, then from the explicit cycle description of a and b discussd in [9], we see that there is always one level between y_l and a; similarly there is always one level between b and y_u. As the statement of Lemma 5.6 of [9] guarantees that the vertical segment joining Sb and y_l has x-coordinate greater than or equal to 1 and the vertical segment joining y_u and Sa has x-coordinate less than or equal to -1, it follows that x_a^-, $x_a^+ > 1$ and x_b^-, $x_b^+ < -1$ in these cases as well.

From the discussion above we have, $-\frac{1}{x_b^-} < 1$ and $-\frac{1}{x_a^-} > -1$. Now let μ_a^+ be the intersection point of the geodesic joining 0 and $-\frac{1}{a}$, and C; μ_b^+ be the intersection point of the geodesic joining $-\frac{1}{x_b^-}$ and $-\frac{1}{b-1}$, and C. We chosse one of μ_a^+ and μ_b^+,

which has y-coordinate less than or equal to the other and denote it by μ_p^+. Also let μ_p^- be the intersection point of C and the vertical geodesic based at the point $-\frac{1}{x_a^-}$. Then any (a,b)-reduced geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ having attracting endpoint $w > 0$, intersects the segment joining μ_p^- and μ_p^+ of C (see Figure 3). Consequently the cross-section point for any (a,b)-reduced geodesic having positive attracting endpoint, has y-coordinate uniformly bounded away from 0. The same is true for any (a,b)-reduced geodesic with negative attracting endpoint as well, which can be shown similarly by using the fact that $-\frac{1}{x_b^+} < 1$ and $-\frac{1}{x_a^+} > -1$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

For $(a,b) = (-1,1)$ the cross-section $C_{-1,1}$ does not have a compact closure (see next section for details) and therefore needs to be treated separately. In the next section we discuss the cusp excursion of geodesics using (a,b)-continued fraction for $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}$. We first deal with the case $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}'$ and then the particular case $(a,b) = (-1,1)$.

3 Cusp excursion with extreme frequency

3.1 $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}'$

While considering (a,b)-continued fractions in this subsection, we assume $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}'$ unless otherwise stated. Let v, γ_v, $\tilde{\gamma}_v$, $w = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$ be as in the previous section. Once we know that $C_{a,b}$ is contained inside a compact set, it is easy to see that the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of w determine how much further the orbit $\{g_t v\}$ of the geodesic flow goes into a typical neighbourhood of the cusp in its various portions between the cross-section. A more precise statement is contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let j be a positive integer. Suppose $a_j > 0$, then if $a_j < 2d - b - \frac{1}{x_a^-}$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ does not intersect $\mathbb{H}_d$ and if $a_j > 2d - a - \frac{1}{x_b^-}$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ intersects $\mathbb{H}_d$. On the other hand suppose $a_j < 0$, then if $|a_j| < 2d + a + \frac{1}{x_b^+}$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ does not intersect $\mathbb{H}_d$ and if $|a_j| > 2d + b + \frac{1}{x_a^+}$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ intersects $\mathbb{H}_d$.*

Proof. If $a_j > 0$, then the attracting endpoint w_j of $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ lies in the interval $[a_j + a, a_j + b)$ and the repelling endpoint u_j is contained in the interval $(-\frac{1}{x_a^-}, -\frac{1}{x_b^-})$. So $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ will be above the geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}_{a_j}^-$, joining $-\frac{1}{x_b^-}$ and $a_j + a$ and will be below the

geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}^+_{a_j}$, joining $-\frac{1}{x_a^-}$ and $a_j + b$. So if the radius of $\tilde{\gamma}^+_{a_j}$ is less than d, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ does not intersect $\mathbb{H}_d$; on the other hand if the radius of $\tilde{\gamma}^-_{a_j}$ is greater than d, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ does intersect $\mathbb{H}_d$. Now a simple calculation gives the assertion of the lemma in the case $a_j > 0$. If $a_j < 0$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_{vj}$ lies above the geodesic joining $a_j + b$ and $-\frac{1}{x_a^+}$ and lies below the geodesic joining $a_j + a$ and $-\frac{1}{x_b^+}$. Again a simple calculation gives the assertion of the lemma in the case $a_j < 0$. □

The folllowing two lemmas crucial to the arguments to follow, can be proved easily using the fact that the cross-section $C_{a,b}$ is contained inside a compact set in T^1M. The proof of similar statements for the particular case $(a, b) = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is contained in [2] (Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5 respectively) and the same proofs work for any $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}'$ as well.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $d > 1$ be such that $\overline{M}_d \cap C_{a,b} = \emptyset$, then if $\gamma_v^j \cap M_d$ is nonempty, $\tilde{\gamma}_v^j \cap \mathbb{H}_d$ is the only connected component of $\pi^{-1}(\gamma_v^j \cap M_d)$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $v \in T^1M$, γ_v be the corresponding geodesic in M and $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ be an (a, b)-reduced lift of γ_v inside $\mathbb{H}$. Let $w = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$ be the attracting end point of $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ and t_j be the jth return time for the corresponding orbit $\{g_t v\}$ of the geodesic flow. Then there exist a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$|t_j - 2\log|a_j|| \leq \kappa, \ \forall\ j \geq 0. \tag{4}$$

Remark 3.4. *The asymptotic estimates for values of binary quadratic forms at integer points were provided in [2] in terms of $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$-continued fraction expansion of the coefficients of the quadratic forms and the $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$-continued fraction coding of geodesics on the modular surface was used to obtain the estimates. The fact that the cross-section for geodesic flow corresponding to the $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$-continued fraction coding, is contained inside a compact subset of T^1M and the return times can be bounded uniformly by the partial quotients, were used crucially to obtain those estimates. Since the above two properties hold for (a, b)-continued fraction coding as well for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}'$, one can obtain similar estimates as in [2] for values of binary quadratic forms at integer points in terms of the (a, b)-continued fraction expansions of its coefficients as well.*

Given $d > 1$, let $\underline{d}^+ = 2d - b - \frac{1}{x_a^-}$, $\underline{d}^- = 2d + a + \frac{1}{x_b^+}$ and

$$\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N = \#\big(0 \leq j < N : \text{either } a_j > \underline{d}^+ \text{ if } a_j > 0 \text{ or } a_j < -\underline{d}^- \text{ if } a_j < 0\big).$$

Let $\bar{d}_+ = 2d - a - \frac{1}{x_b^-}$, $\bar{d}_- = 2d + b + \frac{1}{x_a^+}$ and

$$\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N = \#\big(0 \le j < N : \text{either } a_j > \bar{d}_+ \text{ if } a_j > 0 \text{ or } a_j < -\bar{d}_- \text{ if } a_j < 0\big).$$

Let $S_N = t_1 + t_2 + ... + t_N$, we denote the integral $\frac{1}{S_N}\int_0^{S_N} \chi_d(g_t v)dt$ by I_N^d and $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \chi_d(g_t v)dt$ by I_T^d, where χ_d denotes the characteristic function of the neighbourhood $\overline{M}_d$ of the cusp and $v \in T^1M$.

It is evident from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 that the jth excursion of the geodesic goes more and more into the cusp as the value of $|a_j|$ gets bigger and bigger and vice versa. The following proposition uses this fact to characterize those orbits of geodesic flow which visit the cusp with full frequency. We will consider I_N^d only as it is easy to see that to conclude about the extreme behaviour of I_T^d it is enough to consider I_N^d.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $v \in T^1M$, γ_v be the corresponding geodesic on M and $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ be an (a,b)-reduced lift of γ_v in $\mathbb{H}$. Let $w = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$ be the attracting endpoint of $\tilde{\gamma}_v$. Then $I_N^d = \frac{1}{S_N}\int_0^{S_N} \chi_d(g_t v)dt \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$ for all $d > 1$, if and only if $\frac{1}{N}(\log|a_0| + \log|a_2|..... + \log|a_{N-1}|) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$.*

Proof. We enumerate those j for which either $a_j > \bar{d}_+$ for $a_j > 0$ or $a_j < -\bar{d}_-$ for $a_j < 0$, by the subsequence $\{j_k\}$ and by $\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N} \log|a_{j_k}|$ we mean the sum

$$\sum_{a_j > \bar{d}_+ \text{ or } a_j < -\bar{d}_-, 0 \le j \le N-1} \log|a_j|.$$

On the other hand we enumerate those j for which $a_j \le \bar{d}_+$ if $a_j > 0$ or $a_j \ge -\bar{d}_-$ if $a_j < 0$, by the subsequence $\{j_l\}$ and by $\sum_{l=1}^{N - \mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N} \log|a_{j_l}|$ we mean the sum

$$\sum_{0 < a_j \le \bar{d}_+ \text{ or } -\bar{d}_- \le a_j < 0, 0 \le j \le N-1} \log|a_j|.$$

Now suppose $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\log|a_j| \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$ which implies by Lemma 3.3, that $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$.

Let $d_{a,b} > 0$ be such that $\overline{M}_{d_{a,b}} \cap C_{a,b} = \emptyset$. Now for any $d > d_{a,b}$, let $c_{j_k} = h(M_d \backslash \gamma_v^{j_k})$. Then

$$I_N^d = \frac{1}{S_N}\int_0^{S_N} \chi_d(g_t v)dt \geq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N}(t_{j_k} - c_{j_k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j} \tag{5}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{l=1}^{N-\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N} t_{j_l}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j} - \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N} c_{j_k}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j}, \tag{6}$$

As both the quantities $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{l=1}^{N-\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N} t_{j_l}$ and $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N} c_{j_k}$ are bounded and $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j$ goes to ∞ as $N \to \infty$, it follows that $I_N^d \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$.

To prove the converse statement we will show that if $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\log|a_j| \nrightarrow \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, then there is some d > 1 such thatI_N^d can not go to 1 as $N \to \infty$. Now $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\log|a_j| \nrightarrow \infty$ as $N \to \infty$ means that there is a subsequence $\{N_s\}$ and $\mathfrak{m} > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{j=1}^{N_s-1}\log|a_j| < \mathfrak{m}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, which again means by Lemma 3.3

that $\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{j=1}^{N_s} t_j < \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} > 0$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{1}{N}\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N} \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$ for all $d > 1$ implies $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, which again by Lemma 3.3 implies $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \log|a_j| \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$, we may assume that there exists some $r > 0$ and $d > 1$ such that (if needed by considering a subsequence of $\{N_s\}$ and denoting it again by $\{N_s\}$) $\frac{1}{N_s}\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s} < 1 - r$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Now

$$I_{N_s}^{d} \leq 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{l=1}^{N_s - \mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s}} t_{j_l}}{\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{j=1}^{N_s} t_j}. \tag{7}$$

Since the cross-section point for any (a, b)-reduced geodesic, is uniformly bounded away from the real line, it follows that t_j has a uniform lower bound, i.e. $t_j > \mathfrak{t}$ for some $\mathfrak{t} > 0$ and all $j \geq 0$. Since $\frac{1}{N_s}(N_s - \mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s}) > r$ and $\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{j=1}^{N_s} t_j < \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ for all s, it follows that $I_{N_s}^{d} \leq 1 - \frac{r\mathfrak{t}}{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}} < 1$, for all s. Hence $I_N^d \nrightarrow 1$ as $N \to \infty$, a contradiction. □

Let us now concentrate on those orbits whose frequency of visiting the cusp is zero. A complete characterization of such orbits is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *If* $\frac{1}{N}(\log|a_{j_1}| + \log|a_{j_2}| ..... + \log|a_{j_{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^{N}}}|) \to 0$ *as* $N \to \infty$ *for some* $d > 1$, *then* $I_N^{d'} = \frac{1}{S_N}\int_0^{S_N} \chi_{d'}(g_t v)dt \to 0$ *as* $N \to \infty$ *for all* $d' > d$. *On the other hand if* $I_N^{d'} \to 0$ *as* $N \to \infty$ *for some* $d' > 1$, *then* $\frac{1}{N}(\log|a_{j_1}| + \log|a_{j_2}| ..... + \log|a_{j_{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N}}}|) \to 0$ *as* $N \to \infty$ *for all* $d > d'$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N} 2\log|a_{j_k}| - \frac{1}{N}\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N\kappa \le \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N} t_{j_k} \le \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N} 2\log|a_{j_k}| + \frac{1}{N}\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N\kappa \qquad (8)$$

where κ is as in that Lemma. Note that $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N} 2\log|a_{j_k}| \to 0$ implies that $\frac{1}{N}\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. Then from (8) we conclude that $\frac{1}{N}(\log|a_{j_1}| + \log|a_{j_2}| ..... + \log|a_{j_{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N}}|) \to 0$ is equivalent to $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N} t_{j_k} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

Now for any $d' > d$,

$$I_N^{d'} \le I_N^d = \frac{1}{S_N}\int_0^{S_N} \chi_d(g_t v)dt \le \frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\underline{d}}^N} t_{j_k}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j},$$

which tends to 0 as $N \to \infty$ since $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j$ is bounded below by $\mathfrak{t}$.

To prove the converse statement let us assume that $I_N^{d'} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ and $d > d'$. Suppose $\frac{1}{N}(\log|a_{j_1}| + \log|a_{j_2}| ..... + \log|a_{j_{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^N}}|) \nrightarrow 0$. Then using another version of (8) with $\underline{d}$ replaced by $\bar{d}$, there is a subsequence $\{N_s\}$ and $r > 0$, such that $\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s}} t_{j_k} > r$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that as $I_{N_s}^d \le I_{N_s}^{d'} \to 0$, when $s \to \infty$, we have $\frac{1}{N_s}\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s} \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$. Because $\frac{1}{N_s}\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s} > \tilde{r}$ for some $\tilde{r} > 0$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ would imply $\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}'}^{N_s}} (t_{j_k} - c_{j_k}) > \tilde{r}c_1$, which in turn implies that $I_{N_s}^{d'} > \tilde{r}c_1$ for all $s \ge 1$, where $t_{j_k} - c_{j_k} > c_1 > 0$.

Now let $h_{a,b}^d$ denote the least upper bound of the distances from the cross-section

point on C to the horizontal line $y = d$ for all (a, b)-reduced geodesics. Then

$$I^{d'}_{N_s} \geq I^{d}_{N_s} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s}} (t_{j_k} - c_{j_k})}{\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{j=1}^{N_s} t_j} \tag{9}$$

$$\geq \frac{\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s}} t_{j_k} - \frac{1}{N_s}\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s} 2h^d_{a,b}}{\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{j=1}^{N_s} t_j} \tag{10}$$

As $\frac{1}{N_s}\mathfrak{j}_{\bar{d}}^{N_s} \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$, it follows that there is some $\mathfrak{m} > 0$, such that $\frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{j=1}^{N_s} t_j < \mathfrak{m}$, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, from (9) and (10) we conclude that there exists some $0 < r_1 < r$, such that $I^{d'}_{N_s} > \frac{r_1}{\mathfrak{m}}$ for sufficiently large s. Which is a contradiction to the assumption that $I^{d'}_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Now the proof of Theorem 1.4 for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}'$ follows from Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6.

Remark 3.7. *Note that in Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, we have considered an (a, b)-reduced lift of γ_v, whereas in Theorem 1.4 we have considered any lift of γ_v to $\mathbb{H}$. This does not lead to any ambiguity because if we obtain an (a, b)-reduced geodesic γ with attracting end point $w = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{a,b}$, from a geodesic γ' with attracting end point $w' = [a'_0, a'_1, a'_2, ...]_{a,b}$, then $a_j = a'_{j+n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

3.2 $(a, b) = (-1, 1)$

Now we concentrate on the special case $(a, b) = (-1, 1)$. Recall that the coding of geodesics on the modular surface using this particular continued fraction expansion of real numbers is discussed in detail in [8], where it is called the alternating

continued fraction coding. The name alternating continued fraction comes from the fact that the partial quotients of the $(-1,1)$-continued fraction expansion of a real number has alternate signs. This particular coding procedure does not provide with a cross-section contained in a compact subset of T^1M. Recall form [8] that a geodesic in $\mathbb{H}$ is called A-reduced ($(-1,1)$-reduced with our convention), if its attracting endpoint w and repelling endpoint u satisfy $|w| > 1$ and $-1 < sgn(w)u < 0$ respectively. So the cross-section point for an A-reduced geodesic can be as close to the real line as one wants, showing that the cross-section is not contained inside a compact set in T^1M. So the jth return time may not be at a bounded distance from $2\log|a_j|$. But t_j can be controled using couple of preceding and couple of succeding entries in the sequence of partial quotients. We recall from [8], the formula for the jth return time

$$t_j = 2\log|w_j| + \log\frac{|w_j - u_j|\sqrt{w_j^2-1}}{w_j^2\sqrt{1-u_j^2}} - \log\frac{|w_{j+1}-u_{j+1}|\sqrt{w_{j+1}^2-1}}{w_{j+1}^2\sqrt{1-u_{j+1}^2}}$$

Now assume that $w_j > 0$, then it follows from the definition of A-reduced geodesics that $u_j < 0$. Since the partial quotients have alternate signs, we also have $w_{j+1} < 0$ and consequently $u_{j+1} > 0$. Then,

$$t_j \leq 2\log|w_j| + \left|\log\frac{|1-\frac{u_j}{w_j}|}{|1-\frac{u_{j+1}}{w_{j+1}}|}\right| +$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\log\left(1-\frac{1}{w_j}\right)\right| + |\log(1+u_j)| + \left|\log\left(1+\frac{1}{w_{j+1}}\right)\right| + |\log(1-u_{j+1})|\right] +$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\left|\log\frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{w_j}\right)(1+u_{j+1})}{(1-u_j)\left(1-\frac{1}{w_{j+1}}\right)}\right|.$$

Now using the assumption that $a_j > 0$ and consequently $a_{j+1} < 0$, we see that $1-\frac{1}{w_j} \geq 1 - \dfrac{1}{1+\frac{1}{|a_{j+1}|}}$, which implies that,

$$\left|\log\left(1-\frac{1}{w_j}\right)\right| \leq \log|a_{j+1}| + \log 2.$$



Figure 4: Possible connected components of $\pi^{-1}(\gamma_v^j \cap M_d)$

Similarly, $1 + u_j \geq 1 - \dfrac{1}{1 + \dfrac{1}{|a_{j-2}|}}$, from which it follows that,

$$|\log(1 + u_j)| \leq \log |a_{j-2}| + \log 2.$$

Using the continued fraction expansions for w_{j+1} and u_{j+1}, we obtain similar estimates for other quantities in the above inequality involving t_j. The case $w_j < 0$ can be treated similarly and we get the following estimate for the return time t_j,

$$t_j \leq 2 \log |a_j| + 2 \max\{\log |a_{j+1}| + \log |a_{j-1}| + \log |a_{j+2}| + \log |a_{j-2}|\} + c, \qquad (11)$$

where c is some constant independent of j. On the other hand, considering the definition of A-reduced geodesics and the fact that the lenght of the geodesic segment joining the point i and $k + i$ is at a bounded diatance from $2 \log |k|$, independent of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to see that

$$t_j \geq 2 \log |a_j| - c', \qquad (12)$$

where c' can be taken as the hyperbolic length of the segment of the unit circle joining the point i and $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i$.

Also note that in this special case, whenever $\gamma_v^j \cap M_d$ is non-empty, the number

of connected components of $\pi^{-1}(\gamma_v^j \cap M_d)$ can be more than one, in fact it can be at most three. One component is $\tilde{\gamma}_v^j \cap \mathbb{H}_d$; one of the other two may be the segment starting from the cross-section point up to the intersection point of $\tilde{\gamma}_v^j$ with the horocycle H_d, where H_d is the image of the horocycle $y = d$ under $T^{-1}S$ as shown in Figure 4; the third component may be a similar one coming from near the other end of $\tilde{\gamma}_v^j$. In Figure 4, the geodesic γ_1 is the geodesic which is tangent to the horizontal line $y = d$ and passes through the intersection point of the vertical line based at -1 and the horocycle H_d. Let h_u^d be the hyperbolic length of the segment of γ_1 joining the pair of points where it cuts the horocycle H_d and where it touches the line $y = d$. Then $h(\gamma_v^j \backslash M_d) \leq 2h_u^d$. On the other hand let h_l^d denote the hyperbolic distance between the points i and the horizontal line $y = d$. Then if $\gamma_v^j \cap M_d \neq \phi$, $h(\gamma_v^j \backslash M_d) \geq 2h_l^d$. Using these observations, the following two propositions, crucial in proving Theorem 1.4 in this case, can be proved by following a similar strategy as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 respectively.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $v \in T^1M$, γ_v be the corresponding geodesic on M and $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ be an A-reduced lift of γ_v in $\mathbb{H}$. Let $w = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]_{(-1,1)}$ be the attracting endpoint of $\tilde{\gamma}_v$. Then $I_N^d = \frac{1}{S_N}\int_0^{S_N} \chi_d(g_t v)dt \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$ for all $d > 1$ if and only if $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$.*

Proposition 3.9. *If $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t_j > \mathfrak{c}, 1 \leq j \leq N} t_j \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for some $\mathfrak{c} > 0$, then there exists $d > 1$ such that $I_N^{d'} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for all $d' > d$. On the other hand if $I_N^d \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for some $d > 1$, then there exist $\mathfrak{c} > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t_j > \mathfrak{c}', 1 \leq j \leq N} t_j \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for all $\mathfrak{c}' > \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.4 in the case of $(-1, 1)$-continued fraction.

It follows easily from (11) and (12) that $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \log|a_j| \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$ is equivalent to $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$. Now let

$$\mathfrak{j}_d^N = \#\{1 \leq j \leq N : \gamma_v^j \cap M_d \neq \phi\}.$$

Then for $d > 1$, from (11) we get

$$\sum_{t_j > 10\log d, 1 \le j \le N} t_j \le \sum_{|a_j| > d, -2 \le j \le (N+2)} 10\log|a_j| + \mathfrak{j}_d^N c. \qquad (13)$$

Therefore, if $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{|a_j| > d, 0 \le j \le N-1} \log|a_j| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$, for some $d > 1$, which also implies $\frac{1}{N}\mathfrak{j}_d^N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$, it follows from (13), that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t_j > d', 1 \le j \le N} t_j \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for all $d' > 10\log d$. On the other hand, it follows easily from (12), that if $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t_j > d, 1 \le j \le N} t_j \to 0$ for some $d > 0$, which also implies $\frac{1}{N}\mathfrak{j}_d^N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$, then there exists $d' > 1$, such that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{|a_j| > d'', 0 \le j \le N-1} \log|a_j| \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ for all $d'' > e^{d'/2}$. With these observations, now the proof of Theorem 1.4 in the case of $(-1, 1)$-continued fraction, follows from Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.9. □

Now let x be a real number and $[a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$ be its classical continued fraction expansion, then as we have mentioned earlier the same partial quotients a_j's with alternate signs give rise to the alternating continued fraction expansion of x, i.e., $x = [a_0, -a_1, a_2, ...]_{(-1,1)}$. Then considering the identification of T^1M with $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] Emil Artin. *The collected papers of Emil Artin*. Edited by Serge Lang and John T. Tate. Addison–Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.-London, 1965.

[2] Manoj Choudhuri and S. G. Dani. On values of binary quadratic forms at integer points. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 22(4):1023–1045, 2015.

[3] S. G. Dani. Divergent trajectories of flows on homogeneous spaces and Diophantine approximation. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 359:55–89, 1985.

[4] K. J. Falconer. *The geometry of fractal sets*, volume 85 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[5] Ai-Hua Fan, Ling-Min Liao, Bao-Wei Wang, and Jun Wu. On Khintchine exponents and Lyapunov exponents of continued fractions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 29(1):73–109, 2009.

[6] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, fifth edition, 1979.

[7] V Jarnik. Diophantischen approximationen und hausdorffsches mass. *Mat. Sb.*, 36:371–382, 1929.

[8] Svetlana Katok and Ilie Ugarcovici. Arithmetic coding of geodesics on the modular surface via continued fractions. In *European women in mathematics—Marseille 2003*, volume 135 of *CWI Tract*, pages 59–77. Centrum Wisk. Inform., Amsterdam, 2005.

[9] Svetlana Katok and Ilie Ugarcovici. Structure of attractors for (a, b)-continued fraction transformations. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 4(4):637–691, 2010.

[10] Svetlana Katok and Ilie Ugarcovici. Applications of (a, b)-continued fraction transformations. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 32(2):755–777, 2012.

[11] Caroline Series. The modular surface and continued fractions. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 31(1):69–80, 1985.